



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/543,013	07/22/2005	Junji Takemoto	MAT-8709US	4031
23122	7590	02/14/2008	EXAMINER	
RATNERPRESTIA P O BOX 980 VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				DIAO, M BAYE
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2838				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/14/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/543,013	TAKEMOTO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	M'BAYE DIAO	2838	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) M'BAYE DIAO (PTO) M.D. (3) JACQUES ETKOWICZ (AR).  
 (2) BAO Q. VU (PTO). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: USPAT 5,752,084; USPAT 6,265,840.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Both party agreed on the fact that the limitation, "direct physical contact " as recited in claim is not new matter and it is supported by the application as originally filed. It was also agreed that Motomura (USPAT 5,752,084) failed to teach a control circuit portion incorporated into the case in a way in which the circuit board is housed in the case in an upright position with respect to the case and the circuit board is out of direct physical contact.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Bao Q. Vu/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2838  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.